

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Hobson   |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | Vanessa  |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1287427  |
| <b>Title</b>  | Stakeholder Submission   |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web  |
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Hobson   |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | Vanessa  |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1287427  |
| <b>Title</b>  | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden   |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web  |
| <b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Justified?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Soundness - Effective?</b>   | Unsound  |
| <b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>   | Yes  |
| <b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b> | <p>For the following reasons, I believe the piece of land described above should be removed from this proposal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The use of this site is not justified, positively prepared, or consistent with national policy on local housing need, climate change policy, density of building, green belt policy, traffic, schools, and leisure.</li> <li>2. Developers have not shown they have examined other options than to build on green belt land. They have not considered brown belt land and gone for the "easy"option instead. This will maximise their profit at the expense of quality of life for all of the people of Rochdale.</li> <li>3. The housing needs of Rochdale are for affordable homes, not for the "executive homes"described.</li> <li>4. The proposal to use this site does not comply with PfE objectives 2, 7, 8, 9,10.</li> <li>5. The proposal to use this site is not compliant with the aims as set out in chapters, 2, 8, 9,13, 14, and 15 of the NPPF.</li> <li>6. The use of this site would deprive this and future generations of access to a natural environment - the needs and benefits of which has become all the more apparent during the pandemic. This land is irreplaceable, providing habitat for many animal species and should be valued and protected for all to enjoy.</li> </ol> <p>The people of Rochdale have not been adequately consulted about this proposal which deprives them of a huge local amenity, free for all to enjoy.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> |
| <b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to</b>   | The above piece of green belt land should be removed from the proposal and instead affordable family homes should be built on brown field sites with clear planning for additional amenities that will be required such as roads and schools. The plan should enhance the region not diminish it.  |

**make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**